

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office, Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2006

APPLICATION NO.	· FILINO	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/535,600	10/03/2005		John Graeme Houston	9931-008US	7535
22897	7590 09/08/2006			EXAMINER	
DEMONT & SUITE 250	& BREYER,	LLC	HOOK, JAMES F		
100 COMM(NS WAY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOLMDEL,	NJ 07733		3754		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				SP	
 		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
	Office Action Comments	10/535,600	HOUSTON ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		James F. Hook	3754		
<i> ۲</i> Period for R	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence addr	ess	
WHICHE - Extension after SIX - If NO peri - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Dos of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.4 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. iod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute received by the Office later than three months after the mailing atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 136(a). In no event, however, may a repwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTIE, cause the application to become ABA	ATION: Jly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this comm NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠ Re	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 19 h	May 2005.			
·		s action is non-final.			
3) <u></u> Si≀	nce this application is in condition for allowa	ince except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the m	nerits is	
clo	sed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition	of Claims				
4)⊠ Cla	aim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application	l.			
4a)	Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.			
5)∐ Cla	aim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Cla	aim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	aim(s) is/are objected to.	•			
8) <u> </u>	aim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		•	
Application	Papers				
9)[] The	e specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10)∐ The	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc	cepted or b) objected to by	y the Examiner.		
•	plicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` ,		
	placement drawing sheet(s) including the correc		· -		
11)∐ The	e oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO	-152.	
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	knowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
	☐ Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.			
_	Certified copies of the priority document		plication No		
2.[age	
_				3-	
_	application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		3-	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/19/05; 8/8/05.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other: ___

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6, 8, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kuhlmann (DE 597,472).

Claims 1, 6-8, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(B) as being clearly anticipated by Schauberger (AT 134,543).

Claims 1, 6, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Ziegler (DE 2,510,169).

Claims 1-8, and 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Simon. The patent to Simon discloses the recited helical formation for a conduit comprising an elongate member (fig. 6) which is used with tubes comprising an inwardly extending portion 12 extending along the length of the elongate member from the internal side walls where the distance from the longitudinal axis of the tube is seen to be about 50% of the distance, the conduit is seen to be circular in cross section, the

extending portion extends inwardly a percentage of the radius, there are two or more inwardly extending formations arranged in side by side relation extending the length of the member, the helical formation is mounted to the wall and is in fact part of the wall, where such is used for flow where the use of such as a graft or stent are considered merely intended use where the structure is capable of use in a body.

Claims 1-6, and 8-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Buscemi. The patent to Buscemi discloses the recited helical formation for a conduit comprising an elongate member (fig. 4) which is used with tubes such as vessels 10 comprising an inwardly extending portion 60 extending along the length of the elongate member from the internal side walls where the distance from the longitudinal axis of the tube is can include up to 50% depending upon the size of the vessel the member is provided in where such would be intended use, the conduit is seen to be circular in cross section, the extending portion extends inwardly a percentage of the radius, the helical formation is mounted to the wall and is a separate member inserted in the conduit, where such is used as a graft or stent in a blood vessel.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sawyer. The patent to Sawyer discloses the recited helical formation for a conduit comprising an elongate member which is used with tubes such as vessels 110 comprising an inwardly extending portion near 150 or 160 extending along the length of the elongate member from the internal side walls where the distance from the longitudinal axis of the tube is can include up to 50% depending upon the size of the vessel the member is provided in where such would be intended use, the conduit is seen to be circular in cross section,

the extending portion extends inwardly a percentage of the radius, the helical formation is mounted to the wall and is a separate member inserted in the conduit, there are two or more extending formations provided side by side, where such is used as a graft or stent in a blood vessel.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jansen. The patent to Jansen discloses the recited helical formation for a conduit comprising an elongate member 12 (fig. 2) which is used with tubes such as 10 comprising an inwardly extending portion 22 extending along the length of the elongate member from the internal side walls where the distance from the longitudinal axis of the tube is can include up to 50% as seen in the figures, the conduit is seen to be circular in cross section, the extending portion extends inwardly a percentage of the radius, the helical formation is mounted to the wall and is a separate member inserted in the conduit, where such is removable, there are at least two or more formations in side by side relation.

Claims 1 and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kojima. The patent to Kojima discloses the recited helical formation for a conduit comprising an elongate member 19 which is used with tubes such as 16 comprising an inwardly extending portion 23,24 extending along the length of the elongate member from the internal side walls where the distance from the longitudinal axis of the tube is can be seen in figure 7 to extend toward the longitudinal axis between 10-80%, the conduit is seen to be circular in cross section, the extending portion extends inwardly a percentage of the radius, the helical formation is mounted to the wall and is a separate

Application/Control Number: 10/535,600 Page 5

Art Unit: 3754

member inserted in the conduit, where such is provided with at least two side by side formations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7, 9, 10, and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuhlmann in view of Tayside (EP 1,254,645). The reference to Kuhlmann discloses all of the recited structure with the exception of forming the insert as a formation that is a removable insert with two or more extending formations that is used as a stent or graft. The reference to Tayside discloses the recited insert formed as an insert where at least two helical extending formations 12 extend in from an insert 11, and such is used as an insert into a blood vessel such as grafts and stents. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the extending member in Kuhlmann to be formed as an insert with at least two extending members formed therein and to use such as a graft or stent as suggested by Tayside as such are equivalent flow modifiers used in conduits and would provide a structure that would be less likely to deform thereby lessening its usefulness.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3754

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references to Higley, Hirsch, Takeda, and McGuinness disclosing state of the art flow modifiers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James F. Hook whose telephone number is (571) 272-4903. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Wednesday, work at home Thursdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

James F. Hook

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3754